

August 31, 2012

Dear Ms. Sowyrda,

I am in receipt of your letter dated August 28, 2012 regarding my correspondence with PQA. This is the second unprofessional and prejudicial letter sent to PQA in what I believe to be an attempt to undermine my credibility and slander my name. The first such letter was dated February 23, 2012.

You are within your right to quote laws and reference legal facts. You are not within your right to state that I am "running one-person campaign against the District" and that I am "acting without any authority from students' parents." You were also not within your right to seek judgment from PQA regarding your options as to what you believed to be an 'alleged misuse of my position within the PAC' in February 2012. As an attorney focusing on education law in Massachusetts, one would expect you to be well aware that PQA is not the forum for such a request regarding your "legal options." In addition, the letter had never been received by PQA from you (several months later, I requested information from PQA regarding this and they had no such letter on file) which begs the question as to whether or not the February 2012 letter was sent to me as an attempt at intimidation. Either that is the case, or you erroneously believed PQA was the correct forum and you simultaneously failed to mail the letter to PQA. The latter two options concern me as a tax payer of Hudson who is funding your legal fees.

Your letters regarding my character are considered harassment and I request that you cease and desist. Your personal reflection has no merit on the case at hand and does not belong in any correspondence. It is deemed by me to be prejudicial and highly unprofessional.

Should PQA concur with your request, I will happily supply the names of the parents and you will clearly see that this is not a one person campaign. I will expect that there will be no repercussions against the families involved regarding the special needs of their children and that there will be no delays with team meetings, implementation of IEPs, testing, accommodations, etc. Should such repercussions occur, it will be within the families' right to file with OCR.

In the meantime, I expect you to avoid personal comments and unprofessional banter and I expect an apology with a copy to all whom you have sent your original correspondence. It has neither factual basis nor relevance on the legality of the practices of the Hudson School District.

Regards,

Jorge Teixeira